



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,338	03/21/2001	Jonathan M. Rothberg	21465-501 CIP2	6233
35437	7590	10/14/2005	EXAMINER	
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO			KIM, YOUNG J	
666 THIRD AVENUE			ART UNIT	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			PAPER NUMBER	

1637

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

10122005

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

he

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/814,338

Applicant(s)

ROTHBERG ET AL.

Examiner

Young J. Kim

Art Unit

1637

All Participants:

(1) Young J. Kim.

(2) Ms. Caryn DeHoratius.

Status of Application: Amendment after Non-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 October 2005

Time: 2:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

YOUNG J. KIM
PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants were advised approximately two weeks ago that the amendment contained improper claim identifiers and that in order to avoid a mailing of NINA (Notice of Informal or Non-responsive Amendment), Applicants were requested to supply a supplemental amendment rectifying the informality. At that time, Ms. DeHoratius agreed to supply a supplemental amendment correcting the deficiency. As of date, Applicants have not provided a supplemental amendment. Mr. DeHoratius was again informed that if the amendment is not submitted by October 14, 2005, a NINA would be mailed.